

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,807	11/24/2003	Michael Lee Millard	13DV-14030 (07783-0110)	5428
31450	7590 06/02/2006	;	EXAM	INER
MCNEES WALLACE & NURICK LLC 100 PINE STREET P.O. BOX 1166 HARRISBURG, PA 17108-1166			MOORE, MA	RGARET G
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/720,807	MILLARD ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Margaret G. Moore	1712				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 A</u>	pril 2006.					
2a)⊠		action is non-final.					
3)							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	. 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 to 10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1 to 10</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•				
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
2) Notice (3) Information	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	· ·				

Application/Control Number: 10/720,807 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

1. Claims 6, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is nothing in the specification to indicate that a plurality of solids is intended for the claimed composition or that applicants had possession of such a concept. The specification only refers to the solvent use in the singular. This limitation is considered to be new matter.

2. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Similar to that noted in the previous office action, reference to "the plurality of evaporable solvents" lacks antecedent basis since a plurality of solvents is not found in claim 1.

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1, 2 and 4 to 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over lykovich.

This rejection relies on the rationale of record.

5. Claims 1 to 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sangeeta et al.

This rejection relies on the rationale of record.

6. Applicants' rely on the same rationale in an effort to overcome the rejections of record. As such the Examiner will address these arguments simultaneously. It is argued that "several of the features recited in independent claim 1 or not taught or

Art Unit: 1712

suggested" by Sangeeta or Ivkovich. The only feature specifically noted by applicants, though, is the "forming a pliable composition, wherein the composition conforms to and substantially fills a void between the radius region and the skin member".

To this extent the Examiner notes that both Sangeeta and Ivkovich teach pliable compositions. In Sangeeta note that the composition therein is in the form of a slurry and is used as a coating. In Ivkovich note that this reference, too, teaches a coating composition. Such compositions can be considered to be pliable.

For the requirement of "conforms to and substantially fills a void between the radius region and the skin member" note that this language refers to the future intended use of the composition. While the teachings in the prior art reference need not teach such a specific limitation, the prior art does need to be able to meet this limitation. The coating compositions of the prior art are fluid and thus will conform to a void when placed therein. This limitation on the future intended use of the composition does nothing to render the claimed composition unobvious over those taught by the prior art. As such these rejections are maintained.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,807

Art Unit: 1712

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret G. Moore whose telephone number is 571-272-1090. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Wednesday and Friday, 10am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1712

mgm 5/27/06